

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 3 $N\ 511$

Date : 2000-09-19

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 3 7-bit and 8-bit codes and their extension SECRETARIAT : ELOT

DOC TYPE :	Disposition of Comments
TITLE :	Result of the ad-hoc meeting on proposed second CD 2375
SOURCE :	Michael Everson
PROJECT:	
STATUS :	
ACTION ID :	FYI
DUE DATE :	
DISTRIBUTION :	P, O and L Members of ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2 WG Conveners, Secretariats ISO/IEC JTC 1 Secretariat ISO/IEC ITTF
NO OF PAGES :	2

- Contact 1: Secretariat ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 3 ELOT Mrs K.Velli Acharnon 313, 111 45 Kato Patissia, ATHENS – GREECE Tel: +30 1 21 20 307 Fax: +30 1 22 86 219 E-mail: <u>kkb@elot.gr</u>
- Contact 2 : Convenor ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 2/WG 3 Mr E.Melagrakis Acharnon 313, 111 45 Kato Patissia, ATHENS – GREECE Tel: +30 1 21 20 301 Fax : +30 1 22 86 219 E-mail: <u>eem@elot.gr</u>

Result of the ad-hoc meeting on proposed second CD 2375

The issues outlined in N498 were resolved as follows:

1. The recommendation given on page 4 was accepted.

2. The recommendations given on page 7 were accepted but the text will be made clearer. It was noted in the discussion that disagreement between the JAC and SA on content of mapping is not grounds for appeal; national bodies reviewing the registration may recommend correction of errors, but they do not appeal either.

3. The recommendation given on page 8 were accepted.

4. The first recommendation given on page 10 was accepted; the second was not.

5. The recommendations given on page 12 was accepted. Annex C will be retained. It is the intention that this standard will be comprehensive enough that a separate Practice of the Registration Authority document will not be necessary. A specific note about glyph changes being accepted by the Owner of Origin will be added.

6. The recommendations given on page 13 were accepted. It would be inappropriate to specify a format for mapping tables, so long as they are clear. Clause 13 will be updated to show that the SA must provide clear mapping tables in electronic format.

7. The recommendations given on page 14 were not accepted. It is the responsibility of an SA to update its mapping(s).

8. The recommendation given on page 15 was accepted.

9. We believe all the RA concerns have been met, but they will have to review the CD when it is available.