

1 **ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 22/WG 23 N 0318**

2 *Meeting #17 markup of, Strawman draft, "Code Signing for Source Code"*

3

**Date** 2011-03-23

**Contributed by** Secretary

**Original file name**

**Notes** Replaces N0317

4

5

6

## Code Signing for Source Code

7

### 8 **1 Introduction**

9 Code Signing is a capability that identifies to customers the company responsible for the  
10 code and confirms that it has not been modified since the signature was applied. In  
11 traditional software sales where a buyer can physically touch a package containing  
12 software, the buyer can confirm the source of the application and its integrity by  
13 examining the packaging. However, most software is now procured via the Internet. This  
14 is not limited to complete applications as code snippets, plug-ins and add-ins, libraries,  
15 methods, drivers, etc. are all downloaded over the Internet. Verification of the source of  
16 the software is extremely important since the security and integrity of the receiving  
17 systems can be compromised by faulty or malicious code. In addition to protecting the  
18 security and integrity of the software, code signing provides authentication of the author,  
19 publisher or distributor of the code, and protects the brand and the intellectual property  
20 of the developer of the software by making applications uniquely identifiable and more  
21 difficult to falsify or alter.

22 When software (code) is associated with a publisher's unique signature, distributing  
23 software on the Internet is no longer an anonymous activity. Digital signatures ensure  
24 accountability, just as a manufacturer's brand name does on packaged software. If an  
25 organization or individual wants to use the Internet to distribute software, they should be  
26 willing to take responsibility for that software. Accountability can be a strong deterrent to  
27 the distribution of harmful code. Even though software may be acquired or distributed

28 from an untrusted site or a site that is unfamiliar, the fact that it is written and signed by  
29 someone known and trusted allows the software to be used with confidence that it is  
30 legitimate.

31 Multiple signatures for one piece of code would be needed in some cases so as to create a  
32 digital trail through the origins of the code. Consider a signed piece of code. Someone  
33 should be able to modify some portion of the code, even one character, without assuming  
34 responsibility for the integrity of the remainder of the code. Similarly, a recipient of the  
35 code should be able to identify the responsible party for each portion of the code. For  
36 instance, a very trustworthy company A produces a driver. Company B modifies their  
37 driver for a particular use. Company B is not as trusted or has an unknown reputation.  
38 The recipient should be able to determine what part of the code originated with and was  
39 unaltered by Company B so as to be able to concentrate their evaluation on the sections of  
40 code that Company B either added or altered. Therefore, a means is needed to keep track  
41 of the modifications made from one signature to the next. Each signature would create  
42 another layer on top of the preceding one.

**Comment [JWM1]:** There are actually two cases. In one case, I'm passing on a product unchanged along with some additional stuff. In another case, I'm changing a product and passing it on.

43

44

45

## 46 1.1 Scope

47 This document defines the utility programs and supporting data structures necessary to support the  
48 signing of code and executables. It is intended to be used by both applications developers and systems  
49 implementers.

50 The following areas are outside the scope of this specification:

- 51 • Graphics interfaces
- 52 • Object or binary code portability
- 53 • System configuration and resource availability

## 54 2. Terminology

### 55 3. APIs

**Comment [JWM2]:** Mention that these specs are language-independent. Particular language bindings will have to make choices, e.g. where is error reporting done?

#### 56 *certcreate*

##### 57 **Description**

58 creates the file outCerFile that will contain a certificate that complies with ITU-T X.509.

59 **Syntax**

60 certcreate [options] outputCertificateFile

61 **Options**

62 TBD

63 **Errors**

64 TBD

65 **Examples**

66 **createcert certfile**

67 will create the file certfile containing a certificate

68

69 **certsigncode**

70 **Description**

71 Generates a digital signature (encrypted hash) of the source code file *filename* using  
72 public certificate *myCertificate* and private key *myPrivateKey*. The default hashing  
73 algorithm for signing shall be MD5. Alternatively SHA1 could be specified with the *-s*  
74 option. The digital signature and publisher's certificate are stored in file *filename.ds*  
75 unless otherwise specified with the *-o* option.

76 **Syntax**

77 certsigncode [options] myCertificate myPrivateKey filename

78 **Options**

79 *-n* overwrite the current signature with a new signature

80 *-o filename* put signature in filename instead of the default output filename

81 *-s* Use SHA1 hash instead of the default MD5

82 TBD

**Comment [JWM3]:** Explain that language bindings are allowed to pick an appropriate convention for specifying options – in fact, all aspects of the syntax.

**Comment [JWM4]:** This needs to be generalized to support more than two choices. The choices should probably be tied to some international standard – perhaps ISO/IEC 10118-3. The product of signing should probably carry the information of which hash algorithm was used.

83 **Errors**

84 If *filename.ds* or the file specified with the `-o` option already exists, `certsigncode` will  
85 report that the signature operation could not be completed since *filename.ds* or the  
86 specified file already exists and that the `-n` operation should be used.

87 If *myCertificate* or *myPrivateKey* are in an unknown format or do not contain proper  
88 keys, `certsigncode` will report that the signature operation could not be completed since  
89 a key could not be read or used.

90 **Example**

91 **certsigncode myCertificate.cer myPrivateKey.pvk sourceCode.c**

92 will create the file `sourceCode.c.ds` containing the digital signature, an encrypted hash  
93 computed using the MD5 algorithm, and the public key.

94 **certsigncode -n myCertificate.cer myPrivateKey.pvk sourceCode.c**

95 will overwrite the existing file `sourceCode.c.ds` with a file containing the digital signature  
96 and public key.

97 **certsigncode -o signatureFile.ds myCertificate.cer myPrivateKey.pvk sourceCode.c**

98 will create the file `signatureFile.ds` containing the digital signature and the public key.

99 **certsigncode -s myCertificate.cer myPrivateKey.pvk sourceCode.c**

100 will create the file `sourceCode.c.ds` containing the digital signature, an encrypted hash  
101 computed using SHA1 algorithm, and the public key.

102

103 **certsignwrap**

104 **Description**

105 Incorporates changes to a previously signed file in such a way that the changes can be  
106 unwrapped later on in order to revert to a previously signed version. Generates a digital  
107 signature (encrypted hash) of the source code file *filename* using public certificate  
108 *myCertificate* and private key *myPrivateKey*. The hashing algorithm for signing shall be  
109 MD5 by default, or optionally sha1. The digital signature, publisher's certificate and *diff*  
110 output are added to file *outputFile.ds*.

111 **Syntax**

112 `certwrap [options] myCertificate myPrivateKey originalFile modifiedFile`

113 **Options**

114 `-s` Use sha1 hash instead of the default MD5

**Comment [JWM5]:** It should be difficult to overwrite an input file.

**Comment [JWM6]:** Since diff files can sometimes be mistakenly large, it may be better to handle diff files explicitly rather than implicitly to increase the chance that humans look at them.

115 `-o filename` Use filename as signature file instead of default originalFile.ds

116 TBD

## 117 **Errors**

118 If *originalFile.ds*, or a file specified by the `-o` option, does not exist, *certwrap* will report  
119 that the signature wrapping could not be completed because an existing signature does  
120 not exist and that a signature file would need to be created before the operation could  
121 be completed.

122 If there are no differences between *originalFile* and *modifiedFile*, *certwrap* will report  
123 that the signature operation could not be completed since there have not been any  
124 changes to the source code files.

125

126 If the hash of *originalFile* does not match the encrypted hash stored within  
127 *originalFile.ds*, or a file specified by the `-o` option, *certwrap* will report that the  
128 *originalFile* differs from the file which was signed and that the signature operation could  
129 not be completed.

## 130 **Example**

131 **certwrap myCertificate myPrivateKey file1.c file1\_modified.c**

132 will update the file *file1.c.ds* containing the signature of file *file1.c* and the changes  
133 necessary to create *file1\_modified.c*

134 **certwrap -s myCertificate myPrivateKey file1.c file1\_modified.c**

135 will update the file *outputFile* containing the signature of file *file1.c*, an encrypted hash  
136 computed using the SHA1 algorithm, and the changes necessary to create *file1\_modified.c*

137 **certwrap -o signatureFile myCertificate myPrivateKey file1.c file1\_modified.c**

138 will update the file *signatureFile* containing the signature of file *file1.c* and the changes  
139 necessary to create *file1\_modified.c* as well as the signature of *file1\_modified.c* and the public  
140 key from file *myCertificate*

141

142

## 143 **certhash**

### 144 **Description**

145 Generates a digital finger print (hash) of the source code. The algorithm for computing the  
146 hash shall be MD5 by default, or optionally sha1.

147       **Syntax**

148       certhash [options] filename

149       **Options**

150       -s -- use sha1 hash instead of the default MD5

151       TBD

152       **Errors**

153       If more or less than one filename is provided an error shall be signaled and *certhash* will  
154       report its proper usage.

155       **Example**

156       **certhash sourceCode.c**  
157               will compute the hash of sourceCode.c using the MD5 algorithm

158       **certhash -s sourceCode.c**  
159               will compute the hash of sourcecode.c using the SHA1 algorithm

160

161       ***certdecryptsignature***

162       **Description**

163       Verifies the digital signature of a source code file and returns the decrypted signature.

164       **Syntax**

165       certdecryptsignature [options] filename

166       **Options**

167       -s *signatureFile* Use signature in *signatureFile* instead of default

168       **Errors**

169       If the signature file does not exist, *certdecryptsignature* will report that the signature  
170       could not be verified because the signature file is missing.

171 If the signature file exists yet does not contain the properly formatted signature and  
172 public key components, *certdecryptsignature* will report that the signature file is  
173 corrupt.

#### 174 **Example**

175 **certdecryptsignature sourceCode.c**

176 will verify the digital signature contained in sourceCode.c.ds and return the hash  
177 decrypted using the public key contained within the signature file.

178 **certdecryptsignature -s signatureFile sourceCode.c**

179 will verify the digital signature contained in the specified signatureFile and return the  
180 hash decrypted using the public key contained within signatureFile

181

### 182 ***certverifysignature***

#### 183 **Description**

184 Verifies the latest digital signature of a source code file *filename* compares the hash computed  
185 for *filename* and returns either “signature valid” or “signature not valid”. This accomplishes in  
186 one step what *certhash()* and *certdecryptsignature()* do in multiple steps. Note the hashing  
187 algorithm is inferred by the length of the signed hash and thus need not be specified by the  
188 user.

#### 189 **Syntax**

190 *certverifysignature* [options] *filename*

#### 191 **Options**

192 *-s filename* -- use digital signature contained in file *filename* instead of the default  
193 *filename*

#### 194 **Errors**

195 If the signature file does not exist, *certverifysignature* will report that the signature file is  
196 missing.

197 If the signature file exists yet does not contain the properly formatted signature and  
198 public key components, *certverifysignature* will report that the signature file is corrupt.

#### 199 **Example**

200 **certverifysignature sourceCode.c**  
201       will compare the signature contained in the file sourceCode.c.ds with hash of  
202 sourceCode.c  
203 **certverifysignature -s signatureFile.ds sourceCode.c**  
204       will compare the signature contained in the file signatureFile.ds with the hash of  
205 sourceCode.c

206

## 207 **certunwrap**

### 208 **Description**

209 Unwrap a previously signed file to revert to the last previously signed version. Certunwrap will  
210 remove the most recent signature from the filename.ds file and the most recent set of changes  
211 in order to revert to the next most recent signature and file.

212 After the operation is complete, the user should run *certverifysignature* to ensure the files they  
213 are viewing is the previous version of source code and has a valid signature.

### 214 **Syntax**

215 certunwrap [options] modifiedFile

### 216 **Options**

217 *-n newSignatureFile* -- places modified signature file in *newSignatureFile* instead of modifying the  
218 one used to unwrap the changes

219 *-o newFileName* -- sets the name of the output file to "*newfilename*"

220 *-s signatureFile* -- uses *signatureFile* instead of the default filename

### 221 **Errors**

222 If the signature file does not contain a valid signature or is missing any components such  
223 as certificates or file *diffs*, *certunwrap* will report that the unwrap operation could not  
224 be completed because of corruption.

225 TBD

### 226 **Example**

227 **certunwrap sourceCode.c**



228                   will unwrap *sourceCode.c.ds* as well as modify *sourceCode.c* to the previously signed  
229 source code file  
230 **certunwrap sourceCode.c -o modified\_sourceCode.c**  
231                   will unwrap *sourcecode.c.ds* as well as produce a modified copy of *sourceCode.c* in the  
232 file specified by the *-o* option  
233 **certunwrap sourceCode.c -o modified\_sourceCode.c -n modified\_signatureFile**  
234                   will unwrap *sourcecode.c.ds* by placing the previous version of the signed file in the file  
235 specified by the *-n* option, and produce a modified copy of *sourceCode.c* in the file specified by  
236 the *-o* option  
237 **certunwrap sourceCode.c -o modified\_sourceCode.c -n modified\_signatureFile -s signedFile**  
238                   will unwrap *signedFile*, the file specified by the *-s* option, by placing the previous  
239 version of the signed file in the file specified by the *-n* option, and produce a modified copy of  
240 *sourceCode.c* in the file specified by the *-o* option  
241

242

243

## 244 **Appendix 1:**

### 245 **A Proposed method of operation**

#### 246 **1. Publisher obtains a Code Signing Digital ID (Software Publishing Certificate) from a** 247 **global certificate authority**

248                   (how one obtains a Code Signing Digital ID may be out of scope and might be better left to other  
249 standards bodies such as the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C))

250                   A software publisher's request for certification is sent to the Certification Authority (CA).  
251 It is expected that the CAs will have Web sites that walk the applicant through the  
252 application process. Applicants will be able to look at the entire policy and practices  
253 statements of the CA. The utilities that an applicant needs to generate signatures  
254 should also be available.

255                   Digital IDs can be either issued to a company or an individual. In either case, the global  
256 certificate authority must validate the identification of the company and applicant.  
257 Validation for applicants would be in the form of a federally issued identification for  
258 applicants and a Dun & Bradstreet number. Tables 1 and 2, respectively, contain the  
259 criteria for a commercial and individual code signer.

260                   Proof of identification of an applicant must be made. Simply trusting the applicant's ID  
261 via a web site is insufficient. Additional verification of the applicant's ID should be  
262 commensurate with the application process for a federally issued ID, such as a passport.

263 Sending in a federally issued ID, such as a passport, to the CA would be sufficient for  
264 proof of identification.

265 The applicant must generate a key pair using either hardware or software encryption  
266 technology. The public key is sent to the CA during the application process. Due to the  
267 identity requirements, the private key must be sent by mail or courier to the applicant.

Identification	Applicants must submit their name, address, and other material along with a copy of their federally issued id that proves their identity as corporate representatives. Proof of identify requires either personal presence or registered credentials.
Agreement	Applicants must agree to not distribute software that they know, or should have known, contains viruses or would otherwise harm a user's computer or code.
Dun & Bradstreet Rating	Applicants must achieve a level of financial standing as indicated by a D-U-N-S number (which indicates a company's financial stability) and any additional information provided by this service. This rating identifies the applicant as a corporation that is still in business. (Other financial rating services are being investigated.) Corporations that do not have a D-U-N-S number at the time of application (usually because of recent incorporation) can apply for one and expect a response in less than two weeks.

268 **Table 1: Criteria for Commercial Code Publishing Certificate**

269

Identification	Applicants must submit their name, address, and other material along with a copy of their federally issued id that proves their identity as citizens of the country where they reside. Information provided will be checked against an independent authority to validate their credentials.
Agreement	Applicants must agree that they cannot and will not distribute software that they know, or should have known contains viruses or would otherwise maliciously harm the user's computer or code.

270 **Table 2: Criteria for Individual Code Publishing Certificate**

271

272

273 **2. Publisher develops code or modifies previously signed code**

274

275

276 **3. Calculate a hash of the code and create a new file containing the encrypted hash, the**  
277 **publisher's certificate and the code**

278

279 A one-way hash of the code is produced using *certsigncode*, thereby signing the code.  
280 The hash and publisher's certificate are inserted stored in a separate file.

281

282 In order to be able to verify the integrity of previously signed code, it must be possible  
283 to identify the responsible party for each section of code. When new code modifies or  
284 in some way encapsulates previously signed code, the original code must be able to be  
285 identified so that its signature can be checked. Therefore, iterative changes to code  
286 must be able to be reversed to identify previously signed versions.

287

288

289

290 **4. The digitally signed file is transmitted to the recipient**

291

292

293 **5. The recipient produces a one-way hash of the code**

294

295

296 **6. Using the publisher's public key contained within the publisher's Digital ID and the**  
297 **digital signature algorithm, the recipient browser decrypts the signed hash with the**  
298 **sender's public key**

299

300

301 **7. The recipient compares the two hashes**

302

303 If the signed hash matches the recipient's hash, the signature is valid and the document  
304 is intact and hasn't been altered since it was signed.

305

306 Software that has multiple signings must be able to be “unwrapped” in order to recreate  
307 previously signed versions. Iterative changes to code can be reversed to identify  
308 previously signed versions through the use of *certunwrap*.

309

310

311

## 312 Existing techniques currently in use to create and verify a digital 313 signature

314

315 Already there exists several different code signing implementations. It would be a major  
316 advance to be able to start to unify these under one standard implementation.

317

- 318 • [Microsoft® Authenticode®](#)
  - 319 ○ Digitally sign .exe, .cab, .dll, .ocx, .msi, .xpi, and .xap files
  - 320 ○ Microsoft requires all files with the following extensions: exe, dll, ocx, sys, cpl,  
321 drv, scr to be signed with an Authenticode certificate to receive Windows Vista  
322 Logo Certification.
- 323 • [Sun Java®](#) (JavaSoft Developer Certificate)
  - 324 ○ Digitally sign .jar files for desktop and midlet mobile Java platforms
- 325 • [Microsoft® Office and VBA](#)
  - 326 (VBA Developer Certificate is identical to Authenticode certificates) (Digitally  
327 sign Microsoft VBA Macros for Microsoft Office)
- 328 • [Adobe® AIR®](#)
  - 329 ○ Digitally sign .air or .airi files for use in Adobe AIR
- 330 • [Macromedia Shockwave®](#)
  - 331 ○ Digitally sign files created with Macromedia Director 8 Shockwave Studio
- 332 • [Authentic IDs for BREW®](#)
  - 333 ○ BREW™: Binary Runtime Environment for Wireless
  - 334 ○ Digitally sign BREW applications

- 335
- [Apple developer certificate](#)
- 336       Digitally sign extensions to be installed on the Safari web browser/platform

337

338

339

## 340 **References**

341

- 342
1. [http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/ms537361\(VS.85\).aspx](http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/ms537361(VS.85).aspx)
  2. <https://www.verisign.com/code-signing/information-center/index.html>
  3. <http://www.verisign.com/code-signing/information-center/certificates-faq/index.html>
  4. <http://www.drdoobs.com/web-development/210004209;jsessionid=IFYXVK2HGN0WJQE1GHRSKH4ATMY32JVN?pgno=2>
  5. <http://www.windowsecurity.com/articles/Code-Signing.html?printversion>
  6. <http://www.tech-pro.net/code-signing-for-developers.html>
  7. <http://www.microsoft.com/whdc/driver/install/drvsign/best-practices.mspx>

351