

1 **ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 22/WG 23 N 0359**

2 *Revised preliminary working draft, "Code Signing for Source Code"*

3

Date 9 September 2011

Contributed by Larry Wagoner

Original file name Prelim_WD_code_signing_090811.doc

Notes Replaces N0357

4

5 The following is a preliminary working draft related to a New Work Item Proposal which
6 has not yet been approved. It is offered as an illustration of what the proposed project
7 might produce.

8

⁸ Strawman INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

⁹ ISO/IEC xxxxx

¹⁰ Information technology—Programming
¹¹ languages, their environments and system
¹² software interfaces—Code signing for source
¹³ code

¹⁴

14 **1. Scope**

15 This document uses a language and environment neutral description to define the application
16 program interfaces (APIs) and supporting data structures necessary to support the signing of
17 code and executables. It is intended to be used by both applications developers and systems
18 implementers.

19 The following areas are outside the scope of this specification:

- 20 • Graphics interfaces
21 • Object or binary code portability
22 • System configuration and resource availability

23 **2. Normative References**

24 The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and
25 are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For
26 undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments)
27 applies.

28 ISO/IEC 14750:1999, Information technology -- Open Distributed Processing -- Interface
29 Definition Language

30 **3. Terms and Definitions**

31 For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

32 [TBD]

33 **4. Conformance**

34 An implementation of code signing conforms to this International Standard if it provides the
35 interfaces specified in Clause 6.

36 Clause 5 is informative, providing an overview of the concepts of code signing. Annex A, also
37 informative, provides a possible scenario of usage for the interfaces specified in Clause 6.

38 **5. Concepts**

39 Code signing is the process of digitally signing scripts and executable objects that verifies the
40 author or origin and guarantees that the signed code has not been tampered with or corrupted
41 since it was signed by use of a cryptographic hash.

42 Code signing provides several valuable functions,

- 43 • code signing can provide security when deploying,
- 44 • code signing can provide a digital signature mechanism to verify the identity of the
45 author or build system,
- 46 • code signing can provide multi signatures, allowing an audit trail of the signed object,
- 47 • code signing will provide a checksum to verify that the object has not been modified,
- 48 • code signing can provide versioning information, and
- 49 • code signing can store other meta data about an object.

50 Code Signing identifies to customers the responsible party for the code and confirms that it has
51 not been modified since the signature was applied. In traditional software sales where a buyer
52 can physically touch a package containing software, the buyer can confirm the source of the
53 application and its integrity by examining the packaging. However, most software is now
54 procured via the Internet. This is not limited to complete applications as code snippets, plug-
55 ins, add-ins, libraries, methods, drivers, etc. are all downloaded over the Internet. Verification
56 of the source of the software is extremely important since the security and integrity of the
57 receiving systems can be compromised by faulty or malicious code. In addition to protecting
58 the security and integrity of the software, code signing provides authentication of the author,
59 publisher or distributor of the code, and protects the brand and the intellectual property of the
60 developer of the software by making applications uniquely identifiable and more difficult to
61 falsify or alter.

62 When software (code) is associated with a publisher's unique signature, distributing software
63 on the Internet is no longer an anonymous activity. Digital signatures ensure accountability, just
64 as a manufacturer's brand name ensures accountability with packaged software. Distributions
65 on the Internet lack this accountability and code signing provides a means to offer
66 accountability. Accountability can be a strong deterrent to the distribution of harmful code.
67 Even though software may be acquired or distributed from an untrusted site or a site that is
68 unfamiliar, the fact that it is written and signed by someone known and trusted allows the
69 software to be used with confidence.

70 Multiple signatures for one piece of code would be needed in some cases in order to create a
71 digital trail through the origins of the code. Consider a signed piece of code. Someone should
72 be able to modify a portion of the code, even if just one line or even one character, without
73 assuming responsibility for the remainder of the code. A recipient of the code should be able to
74 identify the responsible party for each portion of the code. For instance, a very trustworthy
75 company A produces a driver. Company B modifies company A's driver for a particular use.
76 Company B is not as trusted or has an unknown reputation. The recipient should be able to
77 determine exactly what part of the code originated with company A and what was added or
78 altered by company B so as to be able to concentrate their evaluation on the sections of code

79 that company B either added or altered. This necessitates a means to keep track of the
80 modifications made from one signature to the next.

81 An alternative scenario is software offered by company B that contains software from company
82 A. Company B does not alter company A's software, but incorporates it into a package or suite
83 of software. It would be useful to a customer to be able to identify the origin of each portion of
84 the software.

85 6. Structures and APIs

86 6.1 General

87 The structures and APIs described below are intended to be language and platform
88 independent. A particular language implementation will need to specify, for instance, an
89 appropriate convention for specifying options and determine how error reporting will be done.

90 The structures and APIs are described with a syntax independent of any particular programming
91 language, using the Interface Description Language (IDL) provided by ISO/IEC 14750:1999.

92 Note: the APIs are expressed using camel case (e.g. `isIntTrue` instead of underscores
93 `is_int_true`). Particular language implementations may prefer to implement the APIs using
94 underscores. Either is acceptable as long as the implementation is consistent within the
95 language implementation.

97 **6.2 Structures**

99 Additional descriptions of the fields used in these structures are available at ITU-T
100 Recommendation X.509.

```
102 struct algorithmIdentifierStruct {  
103     unsigned short algorithm; // used to identify the cryptographic  
104     string parameters; // optional parameters associated with the  
105 } // algorithm  
106  
107 struct certStruct { // structure for an X.509 certificate  
108     unsigned short version; // certificate format version  
109     unsigned long serialNumber; // unique identifier generated by the  
110     algorithmIdentifierStruct algorithmID; // certificate issuer  
111     string issuerName; // the algorithm used by the issuer to sign  
112     // the certificate  
113     // a representation of its issuer's identity in  
114     // the form of a Distinguished Name  
115 }
```

```

117     string int validNotBeforeDate;           // the start of the time period in which a
118     string int validNotAfterDate;           // certificate is intended to be used
119     string subjectName;                  // the end of the time period in which a
120                               // certificate is intended to be used
121     unsigned short publicKeyAlgorithm;    // a representation of its subject's identity
122                               // in the form of a Distinguished Name
123     string subjectPublicKey;            // the public key algorithm to be used with
124                               // the subjectPublicKey
125     string issuerUniqueId;             // the public key component of its
126                               // associated subject
127     string subjectUniqueId;            // optional issuer unique identifier
128     string extensions;                // optional subject unique identifier
129                               // optional extensions
130     algorithmIdentifierStruct certificateSignatureAlgorithm; // specifies the algorithm
131                               // used by the issuer to sign the certificate
132     string certificateSignature;        // signature of the certificate
133 }
134
135 struct keyStruct {                  // structure for a X.509 private key
136     string privateKey;
137 }
138

```

139 **6.3 certCreate**

140 **Notional Syntax**

141 boolean certCreate (string certificateFile, string certificateDirPath)

142 **Description**

143 *CertCreate* creates in the directory *certificateDirPath* the file *certificateFile* that contains
 144 a certificate that complies with ITU-T X.509.

145 **Returns**

146 *CertCreate* returns TRUE if the certificate was successfully created and FALSE otherwise.

147 **Errors**

148 If the *certificateFile* cannot be created, *CertCreate* will report an error.

149 If *certificateDirPath* is an invalid path, *CertCreate* will report an error.

150

151 **6.4 certSignCode**

152 **Notional Syntax**

153 boolean certSignCode (certStruct myCertificate, keyStruct myPrivateKey, string
154 sourceFilename, string sourceDirPath, boolean overwriteCurrentSignature, enum hashType
155 signatureAlgorithm, string signFilename, string signDirPath)

156 **Description**

157 *CertSignCode* generates a digital signature (encrypted hash) of the source code file
158 *sourceFilename* in directory *sourceDirPath* using public certificate *myCertificate* and
159 private key *myPrivateKey*. The default hashing algorithm for signing shall be SHA-1.
160 Alternative hashing functions that are specified in ISO/IEC 10118:2004 could be used
161 instead and would be indicated through the enumerated type *signatureAlgorithm*. The
162 digital signature and publisher's certificate are stored in the directory *signDirPath* in the
163 file *signFilename*. By convention, the signature filename *signFilename* should be of the
164 form "filename.ds". If *signFilename* already exists in the directory *signDirPath*, then
165 *overwrite* must be set to TRUE or *certSignCode* will return an error that the file could not
166 be created since it already exists.

167 **Returns**

168 *CertSignCode* returns TRUE if the digital signature was successfully created and FALSE
169 otherwise.

170 **Errors**

171 If *signFilename* exists and *overwrite* is FALSE, *certSignCode* will report that the signature
172 operation could not be completed since *signFilename* already exists.

173 If *myCertificate* or *myPrivateKey* are in an unknown format or do not contain proper
174 keys, *certSignCode* will report that the signature operation could not be completed since
175 a key could not be read or used.

176

177 **6.5 certSignWrap**

178 **Notional Syntax**

179 boolean certSignWrap (certStruct myCertificate, keyStruct myPrivateKey, string
180 originalSourceFilename, string originalSourceDirPath, string modifiedSourceFilename, string
181 modifiedSourceDirPath, enum hashType signatureAlgorithm, string signFilename, string
182 signDirPath)

183 **Description**

184 Incorporates changes to the previously signed file *originalSourceFilename* in directory
185 *originalSourceDirPath* in such a way that the changes can be unwrapped at a later date
186 in order to revert to a previously signed version. *CertSignWrap* generates a digital
187 signature (encrypted hash) of the source code file *modifiedSourceFilename* in directory
188 *modifiedSourceDirPath* using public certificate *myCertificate* and private key
189 *myPrivateKey*. The default hashing algorithm for signing shall be SHA-1. Alternative
190 hashing functions that are specified in ISO/IEC 10118:2004 could be used instead and
191 would be indicated through the enumerated type *signatureAlgorithm*. The digital
192 signature, publisher's certificate and changes between the current version and the
193 previous version are added to the file *signFilename* in directory *signDirPath*.

194 **Returns**

195 *CertSignWrap* returns TRUE if the signature was successfully created and FALSE
196 otherwise.

197 **Errors**

198 If a signature for *originalSourceFilename* does not exist, *certSignWrap* will report that
199 the signature wrapping could not be completed because a signature does not exist and
200 that a signature file would need to be created before the operation could be completed.

201 If there are no differences between the contents of *originalSourceFilename* and
202 *modifiedSourceFilename*, *certWrap* will report that the signature operation could not be
203 completed since there have not been any changes to the source code file.

204 If the hash of *originalSourceFilename* does not match the encrypted hash stored within
205 *originalFile.ds*, *certSignWrap* will report that the *originalFile* differs from the file which
206 was signed and that the signature operation could not be completed.

207

208 **6.6 certHash**

209 **Notional Syntax**

210 boolean certHash (string sourceFilename, string sourceDirPath, enum hashType
211 signatureAlgorithm)

212 **Description**

213 *CertHash* generates a digital finger print (hash) of the source code contained in file
214 *sourceFilename* in directory *sourceDirPath*. The default hashing algorithm for signing
215 shall be SHA-1. Alternative hashing functions that are specified in ISO/IEC 10118:2004
216 could be used instead and would be indicated through the enumerated type
217 *signatureAlgorithm*.

218 **Returns**

219 *CertHash* returns TRUE if the hash was successfully generated and FALSE otherwise.

220 **Errors**

221 TBD

222

223 **6.7 certDecryptSignature**

224 **Notional Syntax**

225 boolean certdecryptsignature (certStruct myCertificate, keyStruct myPrivateKey, string
226 signFilename, string signDirPath)

227 **Description**

228 *CertDecryptSignature* decrypts the digital signature of the source code file contained in
229 *signFilename* using *myCertificate* and *myPrivateKey*.

230 **Returns**

231 *CertDecryptSignature* returns TRUE if the digital signature was successfully decrypted
232 and FALSE otherwise.

233 **Errors**

234 If the signature file *signFilename* does not exist, *certDecryptSignature* will report that
235 the signature could not be verified because the signature file is missing.

236 If the signature file exists yet does not contain the properly formatted signature and
237 public key components, *certDecryptSignature* will report that the signature file is
238 corrupt.

239

240 **6.8 certVerifySignature**

241 **Notional Syntax**

242 boolean *certVerifySignature* (*certStruct myCertificate*, *keyStruct myPrivateKey*, *string*
243 *signFilename*, *string signDirPath*)

244 **Description**

245 *CertVerifySignature* verifies the latest digital signature of the source code file
246 *signFilename* in directory *signDirPath* is valid and returns either an indication that the
247 “signature is valid” or “signature is not valid”. This accomplishes in one step what
248 *certHash()* and *certDecryptSignature()* do in multiple steps. Note that the hashing
249 algorithm is inferred by the length of the signed hash and thus need not be specified by
250 the user.

251 **Returns**

252 *CertVerifySignature* returns TRUE if the signature is valid and FALSE otherwise.

253 **Errors**

254 If the signature file does not exist, *certVerifySignature* will report that the signature file
255 is missing.

256 If the signature file exists but does not contain the properly formatted signature and
257 public key components, *certVerifySignature* will report that the signature file is corrupt.

258

259 **6.9 certUnwrap**

260 **Notional Syntax**

261 boolean *certUnwrap* (*string signatureFile*, *string signatureFileDirPath*, *string*
262 *sourceFilename*, *string sourceDirPath*, *string newSignatureFile*, *string newSignatureDirPath*,
263 *string newSourceFilename*, *string newSourceDirPath*)

264 **Description**

265 *CertUnwrap* reverts a previously signed file to the last previously signed version.
266 *CertUnwrap* will remove the most recent signature for *sourceFilename* in *sourceDirPath*
267 from the file *signatureFile* in directory *signatureFileDirPath* and the most recent set of
268 changes in order to revert to the next most recent signature and file. If
269 *newSignatureFile* and *newSignatureFileDirPath* are non-NULL, *certUnwrap* places
270 modified the signature file in *newSignatureFile* inside directory *newSignatureDirPath*
271 instead of modifying the contents of *signatureFile*. If *sourceFilename* and
272 *sourceDirPath* non-Null, then the unwrapped file contents are placed in *sourceFilename*
273 in *sourceDirPath*.

274 After the operation is complete, the user should run *certverifysignature* to ensure the
275 files they are viewing is the previous version of source code and has a valid signature.

276 **Returns**

277 *CertUnwrap* returns TRUE if the unwrapping was successful and FALSE otherwise.

278 **Errors**

279 If the signature file does not contain a valid signature or is missing any components such
280 as certificates or file differences, *cerUnwrap* will report that the unwrap operation could
281 not be completed.

282 If only one of *newSignatureFile* and *newSignatureFileDirPath* is NULL, an error is
283 generated.

284 If only one of *sourceFilename* and *sourceDirPath* is NULL, an error is generated.

285

285 **Annex A**

286 **(Informative)**

287 **A possible method of operation**

288 This annex describes one possible way of using the interfaces specified in Clause 6 of this
289 International Standard.

290 **1. Publisher obtains a Code Signing Digital ID (Software Publishing Certificate) from a
291 global certificate authority**

292 (how one obtains a Code Signing Digital ID may be out of scope and might be better left to other
293 standards bodies such as the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C))

294 A software publisher's request for certification is sent to the Certification Authority (CA).
295 It is expected that the CAs will have Web sites that walk the applicant through the
296 application process. Applicants will be able to look at the entire policy and practices
297 statements of the CA. The utilities that an applicant needs to generate signatures
298 should also be available.

299 Digital IDs can be either issued to a company or an individual. In either case, the global
300 certificate authority must validate the identification of the company and applicant.
301 Validation for applicants would be in the form of a federally issued identification for
302 applicants and a Dun & Bradstreet number. Tables 1 and 2, respectively, contain the
303 criteria for a commercial and individual code signer.

304 Proof of identification of an applicant must be made. Simply trusting the applicant's ID
305 via a web site is insufficient. Additional verification of the applicant's ID should be
306 commensurate with the application process for a federally issued ID, such as a passport.
307 Sending in a federally issued ID, such as a passport, to the CA would be sufficient for
308 proof of identification.

309 The applicant must generate a key pair using either hardware or software encryption
310 technology. The public key is sent to the CA during the application process. Due to the
311 identity requirements, the private key must be sent by mail or courier to the applicant.

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Identification | Applicants must submit their name, address, and other material along with a copy of their federally issued id that proves their identity as corporate representatives. Proof of identify requires either personal presence or registered credentials. |
|----------------|---|

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Agreement | Applicants must agree to not distribute software that they know, or should have known, contains viruses or would otherwise harm a user's computer or code. |
| Dun & Bradstreet Rating | Applicants must achieve a level of financial standing as indicated by a D-U-N-S number (which indicates a company's financial stability) and any additional information provided by this service. This rating identifies the applicant as a corporation that is still in business. (Other financial rating services are being investigated.) Corporations that do not have a D-U-N-S number at the time of application (usually because of recent incorporation) can apply for one and expect a response in less than two weeks. |

312

Table 1: Criteria for Commercial Code Publishing Certificate

313

| | |
|----------------|---|
| Identification | Applicants must submit their name, address, and other material along with a copy of their federally issued id that proves their identity as citizens of the country where they reside. Information provided will be checked against an independent authority to validate their credentials. |
| Agreement | Applicants must agree that they cannot and will not distribute software that they know, or should have known contains viruses or would otherwise maliciously harm the user's computer or code. |
| | |

314

Table 2: Criteria for Individual Code Publishing Certificate

315

316

2. Publisher develops code or modifies previously signed code

317

318

3. Calculate a hash of the code and create a new file containing the encrypted hash, the publisher's certificate and the code

319

320 A one-way hash of the code is produced using *certsigncode*, thereby signing the code.
321 The hash and publisher's certificate are inserted stored in a separate file.

322 In order to be able to verify the integrity of previously signed code, it must be possible
323 to identify the responsible party for each section of code. When new code modifies or
324 in some way encapsulates previously signed code, the original code must be able to be
325 identified so that its signature can be checked. Therefore, iterative changes to code
326 must be able to be reversed to identify previously signed versions.

327

328 **4. The digitally signed file is transmitted to the recipient**

329

330 **5. The recipient produces a one-way hash of the code**

331

332 **6. Using the publisher's public key contained within the publisher's Digital ID and the**
333 **digital signature algorithm, the recipient browser decrypts the signed hash with the**
334 **sender's public key**

335

336 **7. The recipient compares the two hashes**

337 If the signed hash matches the recipient's hash, the signature is valid and the document
338 is intact and hasn't been altered since it was signed.

339 Software that has multiple signings must be able to be "unwrapped" in order to recreate
340 previously signed versions. Iterative changes to code can be reversed to identify
341 previously signed versions through the use of *certunwrap*.

342

342 **Bibliography**

- 343 344 *Code-Signing Best Practices*, <http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/hardware/gg487309.aspx> July 25, 2007
- 345 346 *Code Signing Certificate FAQ*, <http://www.verisign.com/code-signing/information-center/certificates-faq/index.html>, 2011
- 347 348 *Code Signing for Developers - An Authenticode How-To*, Tech-Pro.net, <http://www.tech-pro.net/code-signing-for-developers.html>, 2011.
- 349 Oliver Goldman, *Code Signing in Adobe AIR*, Dr. Dobb's, September 1, 2008.
- 350 351 *How Code Signing Works*, <https://www.verisign.com/code-signing/information-center/how-code-signing-works/index.html> , 2011.
- 352 353 *Introduction to Code Signing*, [http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/ms537361\(VS.85\).aspx](http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/ms537361(VS.85).aspx), June 21, 2011.
- 354 355 ISO/IEC 14750 (1999): Information technology -- Open Distributed Processing -- Interface Definition Language,
356 http://www.iso.org/iso/iso_catalogue/catalogue_tc/catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=25486.
- 357 358 ITU-T Recommendation X.509 (2008): Information Technology - Open Systems Interconnection - The Directory: Authentication Framework, <http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-X.509/en>.
- 359 Steve Mansfield-Devine, *A Matter of Trust*, Network Security, Vol 2009, Issue 6, June 2009.
- 360 361 Regina Gehne, Chris Jesshope, Jenny Zhang, *Technology Integrated Learning Environment: A Web-based Distance Learning System*, AI-ED'95, 7th World Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Education, 2001.
- 363 364 Justin Samuel, Nick Mathewson, Justin Cappos, and Roger Dingledine, *Survivable Key Compromise in Software Update Systems*, The 17th ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security, 2010.
- 366 367 Deb Shinder, *Code Signing: Is it a Security Feature?*, WindowSecurity.com, <http://www.windowsecurity.com/articles/Code-Signing.html?printversion> ,June 9, 2005.
- 368